IDS - 05/20/2005

10/535706 JC06 Rec'd P PTO 20 MAY 2005 Atty. Dkt. No. 065686-0161

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Tadashi MAEDA et al.

Title:

PLL CIRCUIT

Appl. No.:

Unassigned

Filing Date:

5/20/2005

Examiner:

Unassigned

Art Unit:

Unassigned

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.56

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith on Form PTO/SB/08 is a listing of documents known to Applicants in order to comply with Applicants' duty of disclosure pursuant to 37 CFR §1.56.

A copy of each non-U.S. patent document is being submitted to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR §1.97 and §1.98.

The submission of any document herewith, which is not a statutory bar, is not intended as an admission that such document constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application or that such document is considered material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56(b). Applicants do not waive any rights to take any action which would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove as a competent reference any document which is determined to be a *prima facie* art reference against the claims of the present application.

TIMING OF THE DISCLOSURE

The listed documents are being submitted in compliance with 37 CFR §1.97(b), within three (3) months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 CFR §1.491.

RELEVANCE OF EACH DOCUMENT

Any document listed on the attached PTO/SB/08 was either discussed in the specification or was cited as being relevant during the prosecution of the corresponding International application. A copy of the International Search Report is attached setting forth the portion of each document considered relevant by the examiner. An English-language Abstract of each foreign-language documents has been provided. The absence of a full English-language translation or an English-language counterpart document does not relieve the PTO from its duty to consider any submitted document (37 CFR §1.98 and MPEP§609).

Applicants respectfully request that each listed document be considered by the Examiner and be made of record in the present application and that an initialed copy of Form PTO/SB/08 be returned in accordance with MPEP §609.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 CFR §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date May 20, 2005

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Customer Number: 22428

Telephone:

(202) 672-5407

Facsimile:

(202) 672-5399

A David A P

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 26,257

JC06 Rec'd PCT/PTO 2.0 MAY 2009

Approved U.S. Patent and Trademark C

MODIFIED PTO/SB/08 (08-00) through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control

	Substitute fo	r form 1449B	/PTO		Complete if Known - 75706		
	INFORMATION	ON DISCLO	SURE	Application Number	Unassigned / 222/ UU		
	STATEMEN'	T BY APPLI	CANT	Filing Date	5/20/2005		
	Date Submit	tods May 20	2005	First Named Inventor	Tadashi MAEDA		
	Date Sublim	leu: May 20	, 2005	Group Art Unit	Unassigned		
	(use as many s	heets as ne	cessary)	Examiner Name	Unassigned		
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket Number	065686-0161		

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
		U.S. Patent Document			Date of Publication of	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant	
Examiner Cite No.1		Number	Kind Code ² (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
				·			

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS									
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Offic	Foreign Patent Do 2 Number ⁴	Cournent Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Documents	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	⊤ 6		
DM	A1	JP	05-300479	Α	Fujitsu General Ltd.	11/12/1993		A		
DM	A2	JP	06-104748	A	Matsushita Electric Ind Co Ltd	04/15/1994		Α		
DM	A3	JP	07-336556	A	Fujitsu General Ltd	12/22/1995		A		
DM	A4	JP	08-107351	Α	Asahi Kasei Micro Syst KK	04/23/1996		A		
DM	A5	JP	09-214335	Α	Nippon Telegr & Teleph Corp	08/15/1997		A		
DM	A6	JP	2002-261607	Ā	Sanyo Electric Co Ltd	09/13/2002		Α		
		 -						-		

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS								
Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Т						
	•							
		Cite Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s),						

Examiner Signature	/David Mis/ (10/12/2006)	Date Considered	10/12/2006

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ²See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁵For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precade the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

IN THEATINITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Tadashi MAEDA et al

Title:

PLL CIRCUIT

Appl. No.:

10/535,706

Filing Date:

05/20/2005

Examiner:

Unassigned

Art Unit:

2817

Confirmation

4199

Number:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.56

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith on Form PTO/SB/08 is a listing of documents known to Applicants in order to comply with Applicants' duty of disclosure pursuant to 37 CFR §1.56.

A copy of each non-U.S. patent document and each non-patent document is being submitted to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR §1.97 and §1.98.

The submission of any document herewith, which is not a statutory bar, is not intended as an admission that such document constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application or that such document is considered material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56(b). Applicants do not waive any rights to take any action which would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove as a competent reference any document which is determined to be a *prima facie* art reference against the claims of the present application.

TIMING OF THE DISCLOSURE

The listed documents are being submitted in compliance with 37 CFR §1.97(b), before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits, and within three (3) months of the mailing date of the foreign Office Action.

CONCISE EXPLANATION OF RELEVANCE

The documents listed on the attached PTO/SB/08 were cited as being relevant during the prosecution of the corresponding Japanese application. A partial English translation of the Japanese Office Action of May 10, 2006, follows:

- Claims 1, 2, 5
- Cited Literature 1, 2
- Remarks

When comparing the inventions of Claims 1, 2, and 5 of this application and the invention described in Literature 1 (Figures 2-5), they differ in that the invention described in Literature 1 is a PLL circuit comprising a single voltage controlled oscillator that has variable frequency ranges that overlap with one another, wherein the oscillation frequency is controlled by a phase difference signal, while the inventions described in Claims 1, 2, and 5 of this application are PLL circuits comprising multiple voltage controlled oscillators that have variable frequency ranges that overlap with one another, wherein the oscillation frequency is controlled by a phase difference signal.

Consequentially, examining the difference described above, Literature 2 describes a PLL circuit comprising multiple voltage controlled oscillators that have variable frequency ranges that overlap with one another, wherein the oscillation frequency is controlled by a phase difference signal (see Figures 2 and 6).

Here, because the invention described in Literature 1 and the invention described in Literature 2 share the common problem of providing a PLL with a wide capture range, the idea of configuring the single voltage controlled oscillator in the invention described in Literature 1 as the multiple voltage controlled oscillators described in Literature 2 is a matter that could have been easily conceived by a person having ordinary skill in the art.

- Claim
- Cited Literature 1, 2
- Remarks

Because no special technical significance is recognized in using multiple oscillators with mutually differing operating threshold voltage levels, an inventive step is not recognized in the invention of this claim.

Therefore, the invention of Claim 3 of this application could have been easily conceived by a person having ordinary skill in the art based on the inventions described in Literature 1 and 2.

- Claim
- Cited Literature 1-3
- Remarks

When comparing the invention of Claim 6 of this application and the inventions described in Literature 1 and 2, they differ in that the inventions described in Literature 1 and 2 do not have a means for setting two threshold voltages that differ from one another in the variable voltage range of the phase control voltage and for temporarily setting the level of this phase control voltage to a range bound by these two threshold voltages when the selection state of the voltage control oscillators changes.

Consequentially, examining the difference described above, the means for setting two threshold voltages that differ from one another in the variable voltage range of the phase control voltage and for temporarily setting the level of this phase control voltage to a range bound by these two threshold voltages when the selection state of the voltage control oscillators changes is publicly known technology, as described in Figure 3 of Literature 3, for example.

Therefore, the idea of establishing the configuration of the invention of Claim 6 of this application by applying the publicly known technology described in Literature 3 above to the invention described in Literature 1, to which the invention described in Literature 2 is applied, is a matter that could have been implemented at the discretion of a person having ordinary skill in the art.

- Claims 11, 13, 14
- Cited Literature 1, 3, 4
- Remarks

When comparing the inventions of Claims 11, 13, and 14 of this application and the invention described in Literature 1 (Figures 2-5), they differ in that the invention described in Literature 1 is a PLL circuit comprising a voltage controlled oscillator that has variable frequency ranges that overlap with one another, wherein the oscillation frequency is controlled by a phase difference signal, while the inventions described in Claims 11, 13, and 14 of this application are PLL circuits comprising a voltage controlled oscillator in which the oscillation frequency is controlled by multiple resonant circuits that have mutually differing resonant frequencies and a phase control signal.

Consequentially, examining the difference described above, a voltage controlled oscillator that comprises two resonant circuits that resonate at mutually differing resonant frequencies and is configured as a circuit that selects one of the resonant circuits is publicly known technology, as described in Figure 1 of Literature 4, for example.

Therefore, the idea of establishing the configuration of the invention of Claim 11 of this application by applying the publicly known technology described in Literature 4 above to the invention described in Literature 1 is a matter that could have been implemented at the discretion of a person having ordinary skill in the art.

Other points are as stated in the sections for Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 above.

- Claims 19, 21, 22
- Cited Literature 1, 3, 5
- Remarks

When comparing the inventions of Claims 19, 21, and 22 of this application and the invention described in Literature 1 (Figures 2-5), they differ in that the invention described in Literature 1 is a PLL circuit comprising a voltage controlled oscillator that has variable frequency ranges that overlap with one another, wherein the oscillation frequency is controlled by a phase difference signal, while the inventions described in Claims 19, 21, and 22 are PLL circuits comprising a voltage controlled oscillator that is configured with multiple connected delay circuits in which the delay times are controlled by phase control signals.

Consequentially, examining the difference described above, a voltage controlled oscillator that is configured with multiple connected delay circuits in which the delay times are controlled by phase control signals is publicly known technology, as described in Figure 1 of Literature 5, for example.

Therefore, the idea of establishing the configuration of the invention of Claim 19 of this application by applying the publicly known technology described in Literature 5

above to the invention described in Literature 1 is a matter that could have been implemented at the discretion of a person having ordinary skill in the art.

Other points are as stated in the sections for Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 above.

- Claims
- Cited Literature 1

27

Remarks

The invention of Claim 27 of this application does not effectively differ from the invention described in Literature 1, and it could have been easily conceived by a person having ordinary skill in the art based on the invention described in Literature 1.

List of Cited Literature

- 1. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication 2001-60870
- 2. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H06-303134
- 3. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H09-246962
- 4. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication 2001-237640
- 5. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication 2001-94417

Record of Prior Art Literature Search Results

· Fields Searched

IPC H03L 7/06-7/23

• Prior Art Literature

Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication 2001-144609
Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication 2001-2337699
Microfilm of Japanese Utility Model Application H2-11776 (Japanese Unexamined Utility Model Application Publication H3-103611)
Microfilm of Japanese Utility Model Application S58-51935 (Japanese Unexamined Utility Model Application Publication S59-157317)
Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H1-123508

(The Record of Prior Art Literature Search Results does not constitute a reason for rejection.)

STATEMENT

The undersigned hereby states in accordance with 37 CFR §1.97(e)(1) that each item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three (3) months prior to filing of this Statement.

The undersigned hereby states in accordance with 37 CFR §1.704(d) that each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and that this

communication was not received by any individual designated in 37 CFR §1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.

Although Applicants believe that no fee is required for this Request, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required for this Request to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

David A. Blumenthal Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 26,257

June 8, 2006

Date

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Customer Number: 22428

Telephone:

(202) 672-5407

Facsimile:

(202) 672-5399

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB

	Substitute for form	1449B/	РТО		Complete if Known	OF
. (1	NFORMATION D	ISCLOS	SURE	Application Number	10/535,706	40
S	TATEMENT BY	APPLIC	CANT	Filing Date	11/21/2003	/0 3/
r	Date Submitted: J	lune 8	2008	First Named Inventor	Tadashi MAEDA, e	al. 9 7006 m
•	Jake Guomintee. J	Julie U,	2000	Group Art Unit	2817	IUH O
· (us	e as many sheets	s as nec	essary)	Examiner Name	Unassigned	No.
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket Number	065686-0161	The same of the sa

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS									
		U.S. Patent I	Document		Date of Publication of	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant			
	Cite No. ¹	Number	Kind Code ² (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear			
DM	B1	6,411,168		YOSHIDA	06-25-2002				
DM.	B2	6,687,321		KADA et al.	02-03-2004				
DM	B3	6,714,772		KASAHARA et al.	03-30-2004				

			UNPUBLISI	HED U.S. PATENT APPLICATION	DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	U.S. Patent Application Document		Alama of Potential and Application	Filing Date of	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant
		Serial Number	Kind Code ² (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear

				F	DREIGN PATENT DOCUMENT	S		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Offic	Foreign Patent Do	Cument Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Documents	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	т ^а
DM	B4	JP	59-157317			10-22-1984		
DM	B5	JP	01-123508		MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC CORP	05-16-1989		Abst.
DM ·	B6	JP	03-103611			10-28-1991		
DM	B7	JP	06-303134	1 1	HITACHI LTD.	10-28-1994		Abst.
DM	B8	JP	09-246962		NEC CORPORATION	09-19-1997		Abst.
DM	B9	JP	2001-060870		MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC IND. CO. LTD.	03-06-2001		Abst.
DM	B10	JP	2001-094417		TOSHIBA MICROELECTRONICS CORP.	04-06-2001		Abst.
DM	B11	JP	2001-144609		SANYO ELECTRIC CO. LTD.	. 05-25-2001		Abst.
DM	B12	JP	2001-237640		MURATA MFG CO, LTD.	08-18-2001		Abst.
DM	B13	JP	2001-237699		HITACHI LTD.	08-31-2001	i	Abst.

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	τ*
-----------------------	--------------------------	--	----

Examiner Signature	/David Mis/ (10/12/2006)	Date Considered	10/12/2006

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 809. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ²See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. ¹Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ¹For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 18 if possible. ⁴Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.